



As a check, compute the sum to  $k = 23,456$  terms and see how close this formula comes. If the error is really  $O(1/k^9)$ , we would expect the difference from the true sum and the formula to be about  $1/(23,456)^9 = 5e-40$  in magnitude.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{23,456} \arctan\left(\frac{2}{n^2}\right) = 2.356109225979879425301354144248198967469e+0$$

$$\frac{3\pi}{4} - \frac{2}{k} + \frac{1}{k^2} - \frac{1}{3k^3} + \frac{3}{5k^5} - \frac{4}{3k^6} + \frac{9}{7k^7} = 2.356109225979879425301354144248198967469e+0$$

Subtracting the formula from the sum gives  $-8.8e-40$ , which agrees fairly well with our prediction.

Making the same test with  $k = 10$  gives (sum - formula) =  $-1.6e-9$ , which is also in good agreement with  $O(1/k^9)$  accuracy.

To summarize, we have generated an accurate approximation for the partial sum as a function of  $k$ :

$$f(k) = \sum_{n=1}^k \arctan\left(\frac{2}{n^2}\right) \approx \frac{3\pi}{4} - \frac{2}{k} + \frac{1}{k^2} - \frac{1}{3k^3} + \frac{3}{5k^5} - \frac{4}{3k^6} + \frac{9}{7k^7}$$

$1/60^9$  is about  $10^{-16}$ , so for any  $k$  bigger than 60, this formula should quickly give about full double precision accuracy (i.e., 16 significant digits for 64-bit double precision), without having to add  $k$  terms of the sum.

If we need to compute  $f(k)$  for any positive  $k$ , we can add the  $k$  terms if  $k < 60$ , or use the formula if  $k \geq 60$ .

The 50-digit precision of Calc-50 was needed in order to get this formula. Doing the calculations above for the  $c$ 's while carrying only 16 digits would not have found any coefficients past  $c_2$ .