

! This is a sample program using version 1.4 of the fmzm and fm_interval_arithmetic modules
! for doing interval arithmetic using the fm_interval derived type.

! The output is saved in file SampleFMinterval.out. A comparison file, SampleFMinterval.chk,
! is provided showing the expected output from machines using 64-bit double precision and IEEE
! arithmetic. This would give about 16 significant digit accuracy for a stable calculation.
! When run on other computers, all the multiple precision results should be the same, and the
! results from the machine precision (d.p.) calculations will be different.
! The program checks all the results and the last line of the output file should be
! "All results were ok."

! Sample 3 below uses an array-valued function of type fm_interval.
! The function is defined here in a module with an explicit interface.

```
module exp_sum_mod

interface exp_sum
  module procedure exp_sum3
end interface

contains

  function exp_sum3(r_fm)      result (return_value)
```

! Sample function usage for type fm_interval.

! The test function is $\exp(x) = 1 + x + x^2/2! + x^3/3! + \dots$
! summed for the three values of x in array r_fm

```
  use fmzm
  use fm_interval_arithmetic
  implicit none
  type (fm) :: r_fm(3)
  intent (in) :: r_fm
  type (fm_interval) :: return_value(3)
  type (fm_interval), save :: s, t, x
  integer :: j, k

  do j = 1, 3
    s = 1
    t = 1
    x = r_fm(j)
    do k = 1, 1000
      t = t * x / k
      s = s + t
      if (abs(t) < to_fm('1.0e-75')) exit
    enddo
    return_value(j) = s
  enddo

end function exp_sum3
```

```
end module exp_sum_mod
```

```
program test
```

```

use exp_sum_mod
use fm_interval_arithmetic
implicit none

!           Declare the multiple precision variables.
!           (fm) for multiple precision reals
!           (fm_interval) for multiple precision real intervals

type (fm), save :: digits_lost_fm, error_fm, fact_fm, r_fm(3), s_fm, s2_fm, t_fm, x_fm, x2_fm
type (fm_interval), save :: fact_fm_interval, s_fm_interval, t_fm_interval, &
                        x_fm_interval, x2_fm_interval, exp_sum_interval(3)

!           Declare the other variables (not multiple precision).

character(80) :: st1, stf
integer :: e(3), j, k, kout, nerror
double precision :: fact, s, t, x, x2

!           Write output to the file SampleFMinterval.out.

kout = 18
open (kout, file='SampleFMinterval.out')

nerror = 0

! -----Sample 1

!           One of the common uses for multiple precision and also interval arithmetic is to
!           test the accuracy and stability of an algorithm.

!           Here is a sum that theoretically converges to the Bessel function
!           j(1, x) for x = 35.

!           1. Try it using double precision.
!           Printing the partial sums each 5 terms shows that this formula is unstable for
!           x = 35, since some of the partial sums are more than 1.0e+14 times larger than
!           the final sum. This makes it seem that we have lost at least 14 significant
!           digits to cancellation.
!           For this example it is fairly clear from the double precision output that the
!           final value of s is not accurate, but that might not be easy to see for a more
!           complicated calculation.

write (kout,*) ' '
write (kout,*) ' '
write (kout,*) ' Sample 1. Unstable summation.'
write (kout,*) ' '
write (kout,*) ' '
write (kout,*) ' 1. Do the sum in double precision.'
write (kout,*) ' '
s = 0
x = 35.0d0/2
x2 = -(x**2)
fact = 1
do k = 0, 70
  t = x / ( (k+1) * fact**2 )
  if ( abs(t) < epsilon(s)*abs(s) ) then
    write (kout, "(a, i3, a, es25.15)") '      k = ', k, '      s = ', s
  exit

```

```

endif
s = s + t
x = x * x2
fact = fact * (k+1)
if (mod(k, 5) == 0) then
    write (kout, "(a, i3, a, es25.15)") '      k = ', k, '      s = ', s
endif
enddo
if (abs(s-4.399d-2) > 3.0d-3) then
    nerror = nerror + 1
    write (kout,*) ' '
    write (kout,*) ' Error in case 1 (or double precision accuracy is not 53 bits).'
    write (kout,*) ' '
endif
endif

```

! 2. Try it using multiple precision, with 20, 30, 40, and 50 significant digits.
! To measure the error each time, compute it first with 100 digits.

! The error is measured in ulps (units in the last place), since the actual
! accuracy is slightly more than the number of digits requested.

! When FM uses the default large base (10^7 is typical for 64-bit double precision)
! this test will show about 18 (base 10) digits lost during the calculation.

! The reason for the "at least" in the descriptions below is that if the base is
! 10^7 , then the first word of the multiple precision number can have from 1 to 7
! base 10 digits. So asking for 20 digit precision with call `fm_set(20)` gives
! 5 digits base 10^7 , since we want a few guard digits past 20, and using 4 digits
! base 10^7 would guarantee only $1 + 3*7 = 22$ decimal digits. With 5 digits every
! intermediate value in the computation will have from 29 to 35 significant digits.

```

write (kout,*) ' '
write (kout,*) ' 2. Use FM with increasing precision.'
write (kout,*) ' '
write (kout,*) ' Setting precision to j digits via call fm_set(j) will actually set the'
write (kout,*) ' equivalent number of decimal significant digits slightly higher than j.'
write (kout,*) ' For example, if the base used internally in FM is  $10^{**7}$ , then asking for'
write (kout,*) ' 20 digits with call fm_set(20) gives at least 29 significant digits.'
write (kout,*) ' call fm_set(30) gives at least 36 significant digits.'
write (kout,*) ' call fm_set(40) gives at least 50 significant digits.'
write (kout,*) ' call fm_set(50) gives at least 57 significant digits.'
write (kout,*) ' '
call fm_set(100)
s2_fm = 0
x_fm = 35.0d0/2
x2_fm = -(x_fm**2)
fact_fm = 1
do k = 0, 700
    t_fm = x_fm / ( (k+1) * fact_fm**2 )
    if ( abs(t_fm) < epsilon(s2_fm)*abs(s2_fm) ) exit
    s2_fm = s2_fm + t_fm
    x_fm = x_fm * x2_fm
    fact_fm = fact_fm * (k+1)
enddo

do j = 20, 50, 10
    call fm_set(j)
    s_fm = 0

```

```

x_fm = 35.0d0/2
x2_fm = -(x_fm**2)
fact_fm = 1
do k = 0, 700
  t_fm = x_fm / ( (k+1) * fact_fm**2 )
  s_fm = s_fm + t_fm
  if ( abs(t_fm) < epsilon(s_fm)*abs(s_fm) ) then
    write (stf,*) ' f', j+3, '.', j
    call fm_form(trim(stf), s_fm, st1)
    write (kout, "(5x, i3, a, i3, a, a)") j, ' digits, ', k, ' terms gave s_fm = ', &
      trim(st1)
    call fm_ulp(s_fm, t_fm)

```

```

!           Since s2_fm was computed at a different precision than s_fm, we should
!           round it to the current precision. If we knew the two values of the FM
!           internal variable ndig that were used in computing s2_fm and s_fm, the
!           standard FM rounding routine fm_equ could be used. Here we used fm_set
!           to ask for slightly more than 100 and j decimal digits for s2_fm and s_fm,
!           so the routine round_fm in this program gets those values of ndig and does
!           the rounding.

```

```

call round_fm(s2_fm, x2_fm, 100, j)
error_fm = abs( (s_fm-x2_fm)/t_fm )
digits_lost_fm = nint(log10(error_fm))
write (kout, "(a, i3, a)") '           This calculation lost about ', &
  to_int(digits_lost_fm), ' digits.'

```

```

  exit
endif
x_fm = x_fm * x2_fm
fact_fm = fact_fm * (k+1)
enddo
enddo
if (abs(s_fm-to_fm('.04399094217962563996969897065974247192700503984511')) > 1.0d-35) then
  nerror = nerror + 1
  write (kout,*) ' '
  write (kout,*) ' Error in case 2.'
  write (kout,*) ' '
endif

```

```

!           3. Sometimes we want to measure the errors using base 2 arithmetic in fm,
!           to more accurately reflect what is happening in the d.p. calculation.
!           Set FM to use base 2, and do the calculation with 53 bits of precision
!           (64-bit d.p.), then 73, 93, 113 bits.

```

```

!           We want exact control over the base and precision, so use fm_setvar
!           instead of fm_set.

```

```

!           This shows a loss of 14 or 15 (base 10) digits when using base 2.

```

```

!           This is typical of the comparison between using FM with the default large base
!           and base 2. Normalization error is larger with a large base, but the 30 s.d.
!           calculation in case 2 gets about the same accuracy as the 113-bit calculation
!           in case 3, and using base 2 is much slower.

```

```

write (kout,*) ' '
write (kout,*) ' 3. Use FM with increasing precision in base 2.'
write (kout,*) ' '
call fm_setvar(" mbase = 2 ")

```

```

call fm_setvar(" ndig = 150 ")
s2_fm = 0
x_fm = 35.0d0/2
x2_fm = -(x_fm**2)
fact_fm = 1
do k = 0, 700
  t_fm = x_fm / ( (k+1) * fact_fm**2 )
  if ( abs(t_fm) < epsilon(s2_fm)*abs(s2_fm) ) exit
  s2_fm = s2_fm + t_fm
  x_fm = x_fm * x2_fm
  fact_fm = fact_fm * (k+1)
enddo

do j = 53, 113, 20
  write (stf,*) ' ndig =', j
  call fm_setvar(trim(stf))
  s_fm = 0
  x_fm = 35.0d0/2
  x2_fm = -(x_fm**2)
  fact_fm = 1
  do k = 0, 700
    t_fm = x_fm / ( (k+1) * fact_fm**2 )
    s_fm = s_fm + t_fm
    if ( abs(t_fm) < epsilon(s_fm)*abs(s_fm) ) then
      write (stf,*) ' f', nint(j*0.301)+3, '.', nint(j*0.301)+1
      call fm_form(trim(stf), s_fm, st1)
      write (kout, "(a, i3, a, i3, a, a)") '      Using ', j, ' bits, ', k, &
        ' terms gave  s_fm = ', trim(st1)

      call fm_ulp(s_fm, t_fm)

```

! Since s2_fm was computed at a different precision than s_fm, we should
! round it to the current precision. For this case we have explicitly set
! ndig instead of using fm_set as in case 2 above, so we use fm_equ to do
! the rounding.

```

call fm_equ(s2_fm, x2_fm, 150, j)
error_fm = abs( (s_fm-x2_fm)/t_fm )
digits_lost_fm = nint(log10(error_fm))
write (kout, "(a, i3, a)") '                This calculation lost about ', &
  to_int(digits_lost_fm), ' decimal digits.'

  exit
endif
x_fm = x_fm * x2_fm
fact_fm = fact_fm * (k+1)
enddo
enddo
if (abs(s_fm-to_fm(' .0439909421796256399686302351876196')) > 1.0d-20) then
  nerror = nerror + 1
  write (kout,*) ' '
  write (kout,*) ' Error in case 3.'
  write (kout,*) ' '
endif

```

! 4. A second way to check an algorithm's stability is to re-do the calculation
! at the same precision but with different rounding modes.

! Use base 2 with 53 bits and round down, then round symmetrically, then round up.

!
! The results show the three values have no digits of agreement, confirming the
! loss of about 15 or 16 s.d. in the ones rounded down and up.

```
write (kout,*) ' '  
write (kout,*) ' 4. Use FM with different rounding modes in base 2.'  
write (kout,*) ' '  
call fm_setvar(" mbase = 2 ")  
call fm_setvar(" ndig = 53 ")  
  
do j = 1, 3  
  if (j == 1) then  
    call fm_setvar(" kround = -1 ")  
  else if (j == 2) then  
    call fm_setvar(" kround = 1 ")  
  else if (j == 3) then  
    call fm_setvar(" kround = 2 ")  
  endif  
  s_fm = 0  
  x_fm = 35.0d0/2  
  x2_fm = -(x_fm**2)  
  fact_fm = 1  
  do k = 0, 700  
    t_fm = x_fm / ( (k+1) * fact_fm**2 )  
    s_fm = s_fm + t_fm  
    if ( abs(t_fm) < epsilon(s_fm)*abs(s_fm) ) then  
      if (j == 1) then  
        stf = 'rounding left      , '  
      else if (j == 2) then  
        stf = 'rounding symmetrically, '  
      else if (j == 3) then  
        stf = 'rounding right      , '  
      endif  
      call fm_form(' f20.17 ', s_fm, st1)  
      write (kout, "(a, i4, a, a, i3, a, a)") '      Using', 53, ' bits, ', trim(stf), &  
        k, ' terms gave  s_fm = ', trim(st1)  
      exit  
    endif  
    x_fm = x_fm * x2_fm  
    fact_fm = fact_fm * (k+1)  
  enddo  
  r_fm(j) = s_fm  
enddo  
error_fm = max( abs((r_fm(1)-r_fm(2))/r_fm(1)) , abs((r_fm(1)-r_fm(3))/r_fm(1)) , &  
  abs((r_fm(2)-r_fm(3))/r_fm(2)) )  
j = -nint(log10(error_fm))  
write (kout, "(a, i3, a)") '      These agree to about', j, ' decimal digits.'  
if (abs(s_fm-to_fm(' .0519420065663800')) > 1.0d-4) then  
  nerror = nerror + 1  
  write (kout,*) ' '  
  write (kout,*) ' Error in case 4.'  
  write (kout,*) ' '  
endif
```

!
! Use base 2 with 113 bits and round down, then round symmetrically, then round up.

!
! Now the three values agree to about 18 decimal digits, which is again consistent
! with a loss of about 15 or 16 s.d. in the ones rounded down and up.

```

write (kout,*) ' '
call fm_setvar(" mbase = 2 ")
call fm_setvar(" ndig = 113 ")

do j = 1, 3
  if (j == 1) then
    call fm_setvar(" kround = -1 ")
  else if (j == 2) then
    call fm_setvar(" kround = 1 ")
  else if (j == 3) then
    call fm_setvar(" kround = 2 ")
  endif
  s_fm = 0
  x_fm = 35.0d0/2
  x2_fm = -(x_fm**2)
  fact_fm = 1
  do k = 0, 700
    t_fm = x_fm / ( (k+1) * fact_fm**2 )
    s_fm = s_fm + t_fm
    if ( abs(t_fm) < epsilon(s_fm)*abs(s_fm) ) then
      if (j == 1) then
        stf = 'rounding left      , '
      else if (j == 2) then
        stf = 'rounding symmetrically, '
      else if (j == 3) then
        stf = 'rounding right      , '
      endif
      call fm_form(' f20.17 ', s_fm, st1)
      write (kout, "(a, i4, a, a, i3, a, a)") '      Using', 113, ' bits, ', trim(stf), &
        k, ' terms gave  s_fm = ', trim(st1)

      exit
    endif
    x_fm = x_fm * x2_fm
    fact_fm = fact_fm * (k+1)
  enddo
  r_fm(j) = s_fm
enddo
error_fm = max( abs((r_fm(1)-r_fm(2))/r_fm(1)) , abs((r_fm(1)-r_fm(3))/r_fm(1)) , &
  abs((r_fm(2)-r_fm(3))/r_fm(2)) )
j = -nint(log10(error_fm))
write (kout, "(a, i3, a)") '      These agree to about', j, ' decimal digits.'
if (abs(s_fm-to_fm(' .0439909421796257')) > 1.0d-4) then
  nerror = nerror + 1
  write (kout,*) ' '
  write (kout,*) ' Error in case 4.'
  write (kout,*) ' '
endif
endif

```

! 5. A third way to check an algorithm's stability is to re-do the calculation
! at the same precision but using interval arithmetic.

! Use base 2 with 53 bits.

! The result shows the two endpoints of the interval having opposite signs
! indicating a worst-case loss of all 16 s.d. during the calculation.

```
write (kout,*) ' '

```

```

write (kout,*) ' 5. Use FM with interval arithmetic in base 2.'
write (kout,*) ' '
call fm_setvar(" mbase = 2 ")
call fm_setvar(" ndig = 53 ")

s_fm_interval = 0
x_fm_interval = 35.0d0/2
x2_fm_interval = -(x_fm_interval**2)
fact_fm_interval = 1
do k = 0, 700
  t_fm_interval = x_fm_interval / ( (k+1) * fact_fm_interval**2 )
  s_fm_interval = s_fm_interval + t_fm_interval
  if ( abs(t_fm_interval) < epsilon(s_fm_interval)*abs(s_fm_interval) ) then
    call fm_interval_form(' f20.16 ', s_fm_interval, st1)
    write (kout, "(a, i4, a, i3, a, a)") '      Using', 53, ' bits, ', k, &
      ' terms gave s_fm_interval = ', trim(st1)

    exit
  endif
  x_fm_interval = x_fm_interval * x2_fm_interval
  fact_fm_interval = fact_fm_interval * (k+1)
enddo
error_fm = abs((right_endpoint(s_fm_interval)-left_endpoint(s_fm_interval)) / &
  right_endpoint(s_fm_interval))
j = -nint(log10(error_fm))
write (kout, "(a, i3, a)") '      The two endpoints agree to about', j, ' decimal digits.'
```

! Use base 2 with 113 bits.

! The result shows the two endpoints of the interval agree to about 18 s.d.
! indicating a worst-case loss of about 16 s.d. during the calculation.

```

write (kout,*) ' '
call fm_setvar(" mbase = 2 ")
call fm_setvar(" ndig = 113 ")

s_fm_interval = 0
x_fm_interval = 35.0d0/2
x2_fm_interval = -(x_fm_interval**2)
fact_fm_interval = 1
do k = 0, 700
  t_fm_interval = x_fm_interval / ( (k+1) * fact_fm_interval**2 )
  s_fm_interval = s_fm_interval + t_fm_interval
  if ( abs(t_fm_interval) < epsilon(s_fm_interval)*abs(s_fm_interval) ) then
    call fm_interval_form(' f20.16 ', s_fm_interval, st1)
    write (kout, "(a, i4, a, i3, a, a)") '      Using', 113, ' bits, ', k, &
      ' terms gave s_fm_interval = ', trim(st1)

    exit
  endif
  x_fm_interval = x_fm_interval * x2_fm_interval
  fact_fm_interval = fact_fm_interval * (k+1)
enddo
error_fm = abs((right_endpoint(s_fm_interval)-left_endpoint(s_fm_interval)) / &
  right_endpoint(s_fm_interval))
j = -nint(log10(error_fm))
write (kout, "(a, i3, a)") '      The two endpoints agree to about', j, ' decimal digits.'
if (abs(s_fm_interval-to_fm('.0439909421796257')) > 1.0d-4) then
  nerror = nerror + 1
  write (kout,*) ' '
endif
```



```

write (kout,*) ' Error in case 5.'
write (kout,*) ' '
endif

```

```

if (nerror == 0) then
write (kout, "//a") ' Summary:'
write (kout, "(a)") ' '
write (kout, "(a)") ' For this calculation all four methods for measuring the degree of'
write (kout, "(a)") ' instability worked well. When done with double precision carrying'
write (kout, "(a)") ' 16 significant digits and using the default symmetric rounding,'
write (kout, "(a)") ' only 1 digit remained correct at the end of the sum.'
write (kout, "(a)") ' '
write (kout, "(a)") ' Interval arithmetic is probably the strongest of these checks, and'
write (kout, "(a)") ' using FM arithmetic with 30 digits and a large base (method 2) is'
write (kout, "(a)") ' the fastest of these methods for getting the sum correct to full'
write (kout, "(a)") ' double precision accuracy.'
write (kout, "(a)") ' '
write (kout, "(a)") ' Comparing the last value of s in method 1 with the first value of'
write (kout, "(a)") ' s_fm in method 3 should show whether this compiler carries extra'
write (kout, "(a)") ' digits while evaluating expressions like x / ( (k+1) * fact**2 ).'
write (kout, "(a)") ' If the two values are the same, no extra digits are carried in d.p.'
write (kout, "(a)") ' '
write (kout, "(a)") ' '
endif

```

! -----Sample 2

! Here is a recurrence that is seriously unstable.

! It is not a realistic problem that would come up in a practical application, but
! is designed as a counter-example to show that just carrying much higher precision
! cannot be proved to always cure numerical instability.

! Reference: William Kahan -- (2006)

! "How Futile are Mindless Assessments of Roundoff in Floating-Point Computation?"

! <http://www.cs.berkeley.edu/~wkahan/Mindless.pdf>

! After 60 steps the result without any rounding errors would be very close to 5,
! but rounding errors cause the result to converge to 100 instead.

```

call fm_set(30)
write (kout,*) ' '
write (kout,*) ' '
write (kout,*) ' Sample 2. Unstable recurrence.'
write (kout,*) ' '
write (kout, "(a)") ' 1. Use non-interval FM arithmetic with 30 digits.'
write (kout,*) ' '
x_fm = 4
x2_fm = to_fm('4.25')
do j = 1, 60
t_fm = 108 - ( 815 - 1500/x_fm ) / x2_fm
x_fm = x2_fm
x2_fm = t_fm
if (mod(j, 5) == 0) then
call fm_form(' f20.14 ', x2_fm, st1)
write (kout, "(a, i2, a, a)") ' After ', j, &
' terms with 30 digit accuracy, the result is', trim(st1)
endif

```

```

enddo
write (kout,*) ' '

write (kout, "(a)") '      2. Use interval arithmetic with 30 digit accuracy.'
write (kout,*) ' '
x_fm_interval = 4
x2_fm_interval = to_fm_interval('4.25')
do j = 1, 60
  t_fm_interval = 108 - ( 815 - 1500/x_fm_interval ) / x2_fm_interval
  x_fm_interval = x2_fm_interval
  x2_fm_interval = t_fm_interval
  call fm_interval_form(' f20.16 ', x2_fm_interval, st1)
  write (kout, "(a, i3, a, a)") '      After', j, ' terms the result is', trim(st1)
  if (left_endpoint(x_fm_interval) <= 0 .and. right_endpoint(x_fm_interval) >= 0) exit
  if (j > 10 .and. j < 25) then
    if (abs(left_endpoint(x2_fm_interval)-5) > 0.01 .or. &
        abs(right_endpoint(x2_fm_interval)-5) > 0.01) then
      nerror = nerror + 1
      write (kout,*) ' '
      write (kout,*) ' Error in sample 2, case 2.'
      write (kout,*) ' '
      exit
    endif
  endif
endif
enddo

if (nerror == 0) then
  write (kout, "(//a)") ' Summary:'
  write (kout, "(a)") ' '
  write (kout, "(a)") ' The general solution of this recurrence has a term that causes'
  write (kout, "(a)") ' the values to converge to 100, but for these initial conditions'
  write (kout, "(a)") ' 4, 4.25, the specific solution has a coefficient of zero on that'
  write (kout, "(a)") ' term, so this sequence converges to 5 mathematically.'
  write (kout, "(a)") ' '
  write (kout, "(a)") ' When rounding errors occur in later x-values, they introduce a'
  write (kout, "(a)") ' very small but nonzero amount of the term that causes convergence'
  write (kout, "(a)") ' to 100, and that term grows rapidly and soon swamps the rest of'
  write (kout, "(a)") ' the solution.'
  write (kout, "(a)") ' '
  write (kout, "(a)") ' Interval arithmetic tracks the growing uncertainty in the x-values,'
  write (kout, "(a)") ' and when an interval gets big enough to include zero, dividing by'
  write (kout, "(a)") ' that interval is undefined and the result is'
  write (kout, "(a)") ' [ -overflow , +overflow ].'
  write (kout, "(a)") ' '
  write (kout, "(a)") ' Interval arithmetic works better than a sequence of increasing'
  write (kout, "(a)") ' precision FM results here, since comparing FM results at 30, 40, 50'
  write (kout, "(a)") ' digits gives 100 each time.'
  write (kout, "(a)") ' '
endif

```

! -----Sample 3

! Sum an unstable series.
! $\exp(x) = 1 + x + x^2/2! + x^3/3! + \dots$
! converges mathematically for all x , but is unstable for negative x .

```

s_fm_interval = 1
t_fm_interval = 1

```

```

r_fm = (/ -25, -30, -35 /)
exp_sum_interval = exp_sum3(r_fm)
e = (/ -12, -9, -3 /)
write (kout,*) ' '
write (kout,*) ' '
write (kout,*) ' '
write (kout,*) ' Sample 3. Unstable sum.'
do j = 1, 3
  call fm_interval_form(' es25.16 ', exp_sum_interval(j), st1)
  call fm_form(' es25.16 ', exp(r_fm(j)), stf)
  write (kout, "(/a, f6.2, a, a)") '      For x = ', to_dp(r_fm(j)), ' The sum gave ', st1
  write (kout, "(20x, a, a)") '      correct = ', stf

```

! Check the results.

```

x_fm = left_endpoint(exp_sum_interval(j))
x2_fm = right_endpoint(exp_sum_interval(j))
s_fm = exp(r_fm(j))
t_fm = abs( (x2_fm - x_fm) / s_fm )
if (.not.(s_fm > x_fm) .or. .not.(s_fm < x2_fm) .or. .not.(t_fm < to_fm(10)**e(j))) then
  nerror = nerror + 1
  exit
endif
enddo

```

```

if (nerror == 0) then
  write (kout, "(//a)") ' Summary:'
  write (kout, "(a)") ' '
  write (kout, "(a)") ' As the input x becomes more negative, the instability increases.'
  write (kout, "(a)") ' This is shown as the left and right endpoints of the interval'
  write (kout, "(a)") ' result agree to fewer digits.'
  write (kout, "(a)") ' '
  write (kout, "(a)") ' The mathematically correct value of the sum lies within the'
  write (kout, "(a)") ' interval in each case.'
  write (kout, "(a)") ' '
endif

```

```

if (nerror == 0) then
  write (* , "(//a, a)") ' All results were ok. (The output is in file ', &
    'SampleFMinterval.out)'
  write (kout, "(//a/a)") ' All results were ok.'
else
  write (* , "(//i3, a, a)") nerror, ' error(s) found. (The output is in file ', &
    'SampleFMinterval.out)'
  write (kout, "(//i3, a/a)") nerror, ' error(s) found.'
endif

```

```

close(kout)
stop
end program test

```

```

subroutine round_fm(a, b, j1, j2)
use fmvals
use fmzm
implicit none

```

! a was computed with precision defined by call fm_set(j1).
! b will be returned with the value of a rounded to precision defined by call fm_set(j2).
! Do not use b in the calling program at any precision higher than j2.

```
type (fm) :: a, b  
integer :: j1, j2, ndig1, ndig2, nsave  
intent (in) :: a, j1, j2  
intent (inout) :: b
```

```
nsave = ndig  
call fm_set(j1)  
ndig1 = ndig  
call fm_set(j2)  
ndig2 = ndig  
call fm_equ(a, b, ndig1, ndig2)  
ndig = nsave
```

```
end subroutine round_fm
```